## **Unconstrained optimization**

Source: Ahmadi, ORF 363 slides.

#### Optimization problems - basic notations

Unconstrained optimization

First order condition for optimality

Second order conditions for optimality

# $\min f(x)$ <br/>subject to $x \in \Omega$

# $\min f(x)$ <br/>subject to $x \in \Omega$

#### where

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \quad \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\min f(x)$$
  
subject to  $x \in \Omega$ 

Optimal solution:

$$x^* = \operatorname{argmin} f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x \in \Omega$$

 $x^*$  minimizes f over  $\Omega$ :

$$f(x) \ge f(x^*), \quad \forall x \in \Omega$$

```
x^* minimizes f over \Omega:
```

$$f(x) \ge f(x^*), \quad \forall x \in \Omega$$

Optimal value:

$$f^* = f(x^*)$$
 (if  $x^*$  exists)

#### Examples



## Maximization

What if we want to maximize an objective function instead?

• Just multiply *f* by a minus sign:

$$\max f(x) = -\min -f(x)$$

#### Optimization problems - basic notations

#### Unconstrained optimization

First order condition for optimality

Second order conditions for optimality

## Unconstrained optimization: $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$

#### Example 1: The Fermat-Weber problem

You have a list of loved ones who live in given locations in Thailand. You would like to decide where to live so you are as close to them all as possible; say, you want to minimize the sum of distances to each person.



Location of person *i*:

Your location:

Problem:

## Weighted sum of distances

Variant: also given weights  $w_i$  for each person (your mom says you should care more about her than lover 1)

• As we'll see later, this optimization problem is "easy" to solve, because it has a nice structure (called convexity).

- As we'll see later, this optimization problem is "easy" to solve, because it has a nice structure (called convexity).
- If you also wanted to be "far" from some of your friends and family, this would have been a hard problem to solve!

- As we'll see later, this optimization problem is "easy" to solve, because it has a nice structure (called convexity).
- If you also wanted to be "far" from some of your friends and family, this would have been a hard problem to solve!
- A tiny variation in the problem makes the problem much harder.
- By the end of the course, you will learn techniques that will help you make such distinctions.

Unconstrained optimization:  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ 

#### **Example 2: Least squares** Given: $m \times n$ matrix

 $m \times 1~{\rm vector}$ 

Solve:  $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} ||Ax - b||^2$ 

In expanded notation, we are solving:

Some applications

Data fitting

## Some applications

**Overdetermined system of linear equations** A linear predictor for a stock price of a company: s(t): Stock price at day t

$$s(t) = a_1 s(t-1) + a_2 s(t-2) + a_3 s(t-3) + a_4 s(t-4)$$

We have three months of daily stock price data to train our model (lots of 5-day windows). How to find the best  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$  for future prediction?

Consider a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ A point  $x^*$  is said to be a:

• Local minimum:  $f(x^*) \leq f(x) \; \forall x \text{ near } x^* \text{ (} ||x - x^*|| \text{ small)}$ 

Consider a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ A point  $x^*$  is said to be a:

- Local minimum:  $f(x^*) \leq f(x) \; \forall x \text{ near } x^* \text{ (} ||x x^*|| \text{ small)}$
- Strict local minimum:  $f(x^*) < f(x) \ \forall x \text{ near } x^*$  ( $\|x x^*\|$  small)

Consider a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ A point  $x^*$  is said to be a:

- Local minimum:  $f(x^*) \leq f(x) \; \forall x \text{ near } x^* \text{ (} ||x x^*|| \text{ small)}$
- Strict local minimum:  $f(x^*) < f(x) \ \forall x \text{ near } x^*$  ( $\|x x^*\|$  small)
- Global minimum:  $f(x^*) \leq f(x) \; \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Consider a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ A point  $x^*$  is said to be a:

- Local minimum:  $f(x^*) \leq f(x) \; \forall x \text{ near } x^* \text{ (} ||x x^*|| \text{ small)}$
- Strict local minimum:  $f(x^*) < f(x) \ \forall x \text{ near } x^*$  ( $\|x x^*\|$  small)
- Global minimum:  $f(x^*) \leq f(x) \; \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- Strict global minimum:  $f(x^*) < f(x) \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Local/global maxima are defined analogously.

## Example

• In general, finding local minima is easier than finding global minima.

- In general, finding local minima is easier than finding global minima.
- There are important problems where we can find global minima efficiently.
- On the other hand, there are problems where finding even a local minimum is intractable.

Optimization problems - basic notations

Unconstrained optimization

First order condition for optimality

Second order conditions for optimality

#### Notation reminder

$$f(x) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \qquad (f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R})$$

$$\nabla f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad \nabla^2 f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n \partial x_1}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n^2}(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

Gradient vector

Hessian matrix

## First order condition

#### **Theorem. (First Order Necessary Condition for (Local) Optimality)** If $x^*$ is an unconstrained local minimizer of a differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , then we must

have:

$$\nabla f(x^*) = 0$$



Fermat (1607-1665)

• This condition is necessary but not sufficient for local optimality.

- This condition is necessary but not sufficient for local optimality.
- Nevertheless, it is useful because any local minimum must satisfy this condition. So, we can look for local (or global) minima only among points that make the gradient of the objective function vanish.

- This condition is necessary but not sufficient for local optimality.
- Nevertheless, it is useful because any local minimum must satisfy this condition. So, we can look for local (or global) minima only among points that make the gradient of the objective function vanish.
- Terminology: A point x that satisfies  $\nabla f(x) = 0$  is called a **stationary point** or a **critical point** of f. stationary point or a critical point of

Optimization problems - basic notations

Unconstrained optimization

First order condition for optimality

Second order conditions for optimality

#### Linear algebra review

Symmetric matrix:  $A = A^T$ 

**Theorem.** Eigenvalues of a real symmetric matrix are real.

A square matrix A is said to be

- Positive semidefinite (psd) if:
- Positive definite (pd) if:

#### Linear algebra review

Recall that when we talk of psd or pd, we can assume that our matrix is symmetric: If A was not symmetric, we can replace A by  $\frac{A^T + A}{2}$  as:  $x^T A x = x^T \left(\frac{A^T + A}{2}\right) x$ 

## Linear algebra review

**Theorem.** A matrix is positive semidefinite iff all its eigenvalues are nonnegative. A matrix is positive definite iff all its eigenvalues are positive.

#### **Examples:**

1. 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
2. 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
3. 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Second order condition

#### **Theorem.** (Second Order Necessary Condition for (Local) Optimality)

If  $x^*$  is an unconstrained local minimizer of a twice continuously differentiable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , then, in addition to  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ , we must have:

 $\nabla^2 f(x^*) \succeq 0$ 

(i.e., the Hessian at  $x^*$  is positive semidefinite.)

#### **Theorem.** (Second Order sufficient Condition for (Local) Optimality)

Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is twice continuously differentiable,  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$  and  $\nabla^2 f(x^*) \succ 0$ 

(i.e. the Hessian at  $x^*$  is positive definite), then  $x^*$  is a strict local minimum of f.

•  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ ,  $\nabla^2 f(x^*) \succeq 0$  is not sufficient for local optimality.

$$f(x) = x^3$$

•  $\nabla^2 f(x) \succ 0$  is not necessary for (even strict global) optimality

$$f(x) = x^4$$

#### What are the questions in practice?

• How would we use all these optimality conditions to find local solutions and certify their optimality?

#### What are the questions in practice?

- How would we use all these optimality conditions to find local solutions and certify their optimality?
- Is it easy to find points satisfying these conditions? e.g., is it easy to solve  $\nabla f(x) = 0$ ?

#### What are the questions in practice?

- How would we use all these optimality conditions to find local solutions and certify their optimality?
- Is it easy to find points satisfying these conditions? e.g., is it easy to solve  $\nabla f(x) = 0$ ?
- Suppose you found that a given point is locally optimal, how would you go about checking if it is also globally optimal?

## **Exercise:** State the analogues of the three theorems for local maxima.

#### Example 1: Least squares

#### Given: $A = m \times n$ matrix (columns of A are independent)

b m imes 1 vector

Solve:  $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} ||Ax - b||^2$ 

#### Example 1: Least squares

Given:  $A = m \times n$  matrix (columns of A are independent)

b m imes 1 vector

Solve:  $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} ||Ax - b||^2$ 

#### Steps:

- 1. Write  $f(x) = ||Ax b||^2$  as inner product of vectors
- 2. Solve  $\nabla f(x) = 0$  for x
- 3. Show that  $\nabla^2 f(x) = 2A^T A \succ 0$

### Example 2

Find all the local minima and maxima of the following function:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2 - 4x_1 - 4x_2 - x_2^3$$

$$\nabla f(x) =$$

 $\nabla f(x)=0 \Rightarrow$ 

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2 - 4x_1 - 4x_2 - x_2^3$$
$$\nabla^2 f(x) =$$

$$x_2 = \implies \nabla^2 f(x) =$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2 - 4x_1 - 4x_2 - x_2^3$$
$$\nabla^2 f(x) =$$

$$x_2 = \implies \nabla^2 f(x) =$$