

## Lecture 20: October 25

Lecturer: Donlapark Pornnopparath

## 20.1 Decision Theory

**Basic setup**A sample:  $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{P} = \{P_\theta : \theta \in \Theta\}$ Goal: find an *estimator* i.e. a statistic  $\delta$  such that  $\delta(X)$  is close to  $g(\theta)$ Loss function:  $L(\theta, \delta(X)) \geq 0$  measures the closeness between  $\delta(X)$  and  $g(\theta)$ .Since  $L(\theta, \delta(X))$  is random and be large/small if we are unlucky/lucky, the quantity that we should be interested in is the *risk function*, which is the mean loss:

$$R(\theta, \delta) = \mathbb{E}_\theta[L(\theta, \delta(X))].$$

**Example 20.1.** Consider 100 independent coin tosses with chance of being head  $\theta$  and let  $X$  be the number of heads. Then  $X \sim \text{Bin}(100, \theta)$  and a natural estimator is  $\delta(X) = X/100$ . Define a loss function by

$$L(\theta, \delta(X)) = (\theta - \delta(X))^2.$$

Then the risk function is

$$R(\theta, \delta) = \mathbb{E}_\theta[(\theta - X/100)^2] = \frac{1}{100^2} \text{Var}[X] = \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{100}.$$

◇

We now relate the task of finding a suitable estimator to sufficient statistics. It turns out that the connection can be made by imposing an extra condition on the loss function.

**Definition 20.2.** A set  $\mathcal{C}$  is convex if any line connecting any two points  $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{C}$ . That is, for any  $t \in (0, 1)$ ,  $tx + (1-t)y \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 20.3.** Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a convex set. A function  $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex if for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$  and any  $t \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq tf(x) + (1-t)f(y). \quad (20.1)$$

We say that  $f$  is strictly convex if the inequality is strict.Thus a function  $f$  is convex if for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$ , the graph of  $f$  between  $x$  and  $y$  lies below the segment joining  $(x, f(x))$  and  $(y, f(y))$ . In the case  $p = 1$ , we can test the convexity of any twice-differentiable function  $f$  by checking if  $f''$  is nonnegative everywhere on the interior of  $\mathcal{C}$ .**Example 20.4.**  $L(\theta, d) = (\theta - d)^2$  is convex in  $d$  since  $L''(\theta, d) = 2 > 0$ .

◇

The following theorem says that the weighted average in (20.1) can be replaced by the expected value.

**Theorem 20.5** (Jensen's inequality). Let  $X$  be a random variable and  $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function and  $E[X] < \infty$ , then

$$f(\mathbb{E}X) \leq \mathbb{E}[f(X)]. \quad (20.2)$$

If  $f$  is strictly convex, then the inequality is strict unless  $X$  is almost surely constant.

**Proof:** Fix  $t \in \mathcal{C}$ . There exists a constant  $c$  such that

$$f(t) + c(x - t) \leq f(x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{C}$  (i.e.  $c$  is a subgradient of  $f$  at  $t$ ). By taking  $t = E[X]$  and  $x = X$  we have that

$$f(E[X]) + c(X - E[X]) \leq f(X),$$

which yields (20.2) by applying the expected value on both side of the inequality. ■ Everything is tied up together in the following theorem, which tells us that any estimator can be upgraded by conditioning on a sufficient statistic.

**Theorem 20.6** (Rao–Blackwell). Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a random sample from a distribution with pdf  $f(x|\theta)$  and  $T$  be a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . Let  $\delta$  be an estimator of  $g(\theta)$  and  $L_\theta : d \mapsto L(\theta, d)$  be a convex loss function. Then by defining  $\eta(T) = \mathbb{E}[\delta(\mathbf{X})|T]$ , we have

$$R(\theta, \eta) \leq R(\theta, \delta), \quad (20.3)$$

and the inequality is strict if  $L_\theta$  is strictly convex, unless  $\delta(\mathbf{X}) = \eta(T)$  with probability one.

**Proof:** By conditioning  $X$  on  $T$ , Jensen's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} L_\theta(\mathbb{E}[\delta(\mathbf{X})|T]) &\leq \mathbb{E}[L_\theta(\delta(\mathbf{X})|T)] \\ L_\theta(\eta(T)) &\leq \mathbb{E}[L_\theta(\delta(\mathbf{X})|T)]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the expected value on both size, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\theta[L_\theta(\eta(T))] &\leq \mathbb{E}_\theta[\mathbb{E}[L_\theta(\delta(\mathbf{X})|T)]] \\ R(\theta, \eta) &\leq R(\theta, \delta), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. The statement about strict inequality follows from that of the Jensen's inequality. ■

**Example 20.7.** Let  $\mathbf{X} \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$ . We know that  $T = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$  is a sufficient statistic. Consider an estimator  $\delta(\mathbf{X}) = X_1$ . If we have observed that  $\sum_i x_i = t$ , then

$$\eta(T) = \mathbb{E}[X_1|T] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_1 \mid \sum_i X_i = t\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_j \mid \sum_i X_i = t\right]$$

for any other  $j$ . Therefore,

$$n\mathbb{E}\left[X_i \mid \sum_i X_i = t\right] = \sum_j \mathbb{E}\left[X_j \mid \sum_i X_i = t\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_j X_j \mid \sum_i X_i = t\right] = t.$$

Thus the Rao–Blackwellization of  $X_1$  is  $(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n)/n$ . ◇

## 20.2 Minimum variance unbiased estimators

**Definition 20.8.** An estimator  $\delta$  is unbiased for  $g(\theta)$  if

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta[\delta(X)] = g(\theta) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \Theta.$$

If an estimator exists,  $g$  is called *U-estimable*.

If  $\delta$  is an unbiased estimator and the loss function is  $L(\theta, \delta(X)) = (\theta - \delta(X))^2$ , then the risk of  $\delta$  is

$$R(\theta, \delta) = \mathbb{E}[(\delta(X) - \theta)^2] = \text{Var}[\delta(X)].$$

There can be many unbiased estimators. For example,  $\mathbf{X} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  has both  $X_1$  and  $\bar{X}$  as unbiased estimators of  $\mu$ . It is natural that the one that we pick should minimize the variance.

**Definition 20.9.** An unbiased estimator  $\delta$  is uniformly minimum variance unbiased (UMVU) if for any other unbiased estimator  $\delta^*$ ,

$$\text{Var}_\theta(\delta) \leq \text{Var}_\theta(\delta^*) \quad \text{for all } \theta.$$

Note that UMVU does not need to exist. However, given that  $g$  is U-estimable and the family of distributions has a complete sufficient statistic, a UMVU exists.

**Theorem 20.10** (Lehmann–Scheffé). Let  $T$  be a complete sufficient statistic from a family of distribution with pdf  $f(x|\theta)$ . Then any U-estimable function  $g$  accepts an essentially unique (i.e. unique up to a set of probability zero) unbiased estimator based on  $T$  that is UMVU.

**Proof:** Let  $\delta(X)$  be an unbiased estimator of  $g(\theta)$  and define

$$\eta(T) = \mathbb{E}[\delta(X)|T]$$

By smoothing, we see that  $\eta(T)$  is also unbiased:

$$\mathbb{E}[\eta(T)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\delta(X)|T]] = \mathbb{E}[\delta(X)] = g(\theta).$$

For any other unbiased estimator  $\eta^*(T)$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\eta(T) - \eta^*(T)] = 0,$$

so by Rao–Blackwell theorem,  $\eta(T) = \eta^*(T)$  a.e.

To show the variance minimality, let  $\delta^*$  be another unbiased estimator. Then  $\eta^*(T) = \mathbb{E}[\delta^*(X)|T]$  is an unbiased estimator. By Rao–Blackwell theorem with squared error loss as the risk function,

$$\text{Var}[\eta(T)] = \text{Var}[\eta^*(T)] \leq \text{Var}[\delta^*] \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \Theta.$$

Therefore,  $\eta(T)$  has minimum variance. ■

**Example 20.11.** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be iid variables from Bernoulli( $p$ ). We have already showed in previous lectures that

$$T(\mathbf{X}) = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n \sim \text{Bin}(p, n)$$

is a complete sufficient statistic for  $p$ . Suppose that we want to find an unbiased estimator of  $g(p) = p^2$ .

One possible unbiased choice is  $\delta(X) = X_1 X_2$ . The UMVU estimator is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}[X_1 X_2 | T = t] &= \mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1 | T = t) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1, T = t)}{\mathbb{P}(T = t)} \\
 &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1) \mathbb{P}(X_2 = 1) \mathbb{P}(\sum_{i=3}^n X_i = n - 2)}{\mathbb{P}(T = t)} \\
 &= \frac{p^2 \binom{n-2}{t-2} p^{t-2} (1-p)^{n-t}}{\binom{n}{t} p^t (1-p)^{n-t}} \\
 &= \frac{t(t-1)}{n(n-1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $T(T-1)/(n^2 - n)$  is the UMVU estimator of  $p^2$ . ◇